UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,919	04/24/2007	Sung-Min Kim	Q88281	3322	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 01/16/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, DANNY		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	TON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/540,919	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANNY NGUYEN	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the care Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. The abstract filed 6/29/2005 is objected to because it contains the term "such as". Correction is required.

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the terms "zener and an over-discharge preventing device connected to both end portions of the secondary battery" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nakazawa et al (JPN 2002058167A).

Regarding claims 1, 4, Nakazawa discloses an electric energy storage device (see figure 1) comprises a capacitor (2) and a battery (1) combined in series.

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claim 8, Nakazawa discloses an over-voltage preventing device (a voltage detector V, see figure 5) is connected to both end portions of the battery (2).

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ozawa (USPN 6,323,608).

Regarding claim 9, Ozawa discloses a method of charging and discharging of an electric energy storage device (6) comprises a capacitor (such as an ultracapacitor 5, see col. 5, lines 50-52), a secondary battery (4) connected in series with the capacitor, the capacitor being discharged 0V or less (such as col. 5, lines 50-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa in view of Amano et al (USPN 6, 861,767).

Regarding claims 2, 3, Nakazawa discloses the capacitor is a double layer capacitor, but Nakazawa does not explicitly disclose the battery and a capacity of the battery and the capacitor as claimed.

Amano discloses a storage device (see figure 1) comprises a capacitor (5) and a battery (6), wherein the battery is a lead acid battery (see col. 3, lines 45-46) and a

capacity of the battery is about 4-100 time of an electric capacity of the capacitor (e.g. see col. 3, lines 45-46, col. 5, lines 53-54).

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the battery of Nakazawa to incorporate the lead acid battery and the capacity as disclosed by Amano in order to provide a high voltage potential, a wide temperature operating, and a simplified structure. Thereby, reducing a manufacturing cost.

6. Claims 5, 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa in view of Okamura (USPN 5,528,121).

Regarding claim 5, Nakazawa discloses the over-voltage preventing device comprises a voltage comparator (a voltmeter V) for comparing a predetermined voltage and an applied voltage, and a switch (SW2) but Nakazawa does not disclose the over-voltage preventing device comprises a switch and a resistor as claimed.

Okamura discloses a storage capacitor (see figure 3) comprises an over-voltage preventing circuit (20) comprising a voltage comparator (22) for comparing a predetermined voltage and an applied voltage, and a switch (Q1) for flowing electricity when the applied voltage exceeds the predetermined voltage, and a breeder resistor (R6) for discharging the capacitor when switch flows the electricity.

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the over-voltage preventing device of Nakazawa to incorporate the over-voltage preventing device having a switch and a

Art Unit: 2836

resistor as disclosed by Okamura in order to protect the capacitor from an over-voltage condition without interrupting an operating of the storage device.

7. Claims 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa in view of Amano et al (USPN 6, 861,767).

Regarding claims 10, 11, Ozawa discloses the capacitor is a double layer capacitor (such as ultracapacitor), but Ozawa does not explicitly disclose the battery and a capacity of the battery and the capacitor as claimed.

Amano discloses a storage device (see figure 1) comprises a capacitor (5) and a battery (6), wherein the battery is a lead acid battery (see col. 3, lines 45-46) and a capacity of the battery is about 4-100 time of an electric capacity of the capacitor (e.g. see col. 3, lines 45-46, col. 5, lines 53-54).

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the battery of Ozawa to incorporate the lead acid battery and the capacity as disclosed by Amano in order to provide a high voltage potential, a wide temperature operating, and a simplified structure. Thereby, reducing a manufacturing cost.

8. Claims 12, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa in view of Okamura (USPN 5,528,121).

Regarding claims 12, 13, 15, Ozawa discloses all limitations of claim 9 as discussed above, but Ozawa does not disclose the over-voltage preventing device as claimed.

Okamura discloses a storage capacitor (see figure 3) comprises an over-voltage preventing circuit (20) comprising a voltage comparator (22) for comparing a predetermined voltage and an applied voltage, and a switch (Q1) for flowing electricity when the applied voltage exceeds the predetermined voltage, and a breeder resistor (R6) for discharging the capacitor when switch flows the electricity.

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified storage device of Ozawa to incorporate the over-voltage preventing device as disclosed by Okamura in order to protect the capacitor from an over-voltage condition without interrupting an operating of the storage device.

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa in view of Matsui (USPN 5,982,050).

Regarding claim 6, Nakazawa discloses all limitations of claims 1, 4 as discussed above, but Nakazawa does not disclose the over-voltage preventing device including a zener as claimed.

Matsui discloses a storage device (figure 3) comprises an over-voltage preventing is coupled at both ends of the capacitor, and the over-voltage device includes a zener (16) (see figure 3).

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the over-voltage preventing device of Nakazawa to incorporate the over-voltage preventing device having a zener as disclosed by Matsui in order to protect the capacitor from the over-voltage condition with having fewer components. Therefore, reducing the size of the device.

10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa in view of Matsui (USPN 5,982,050).

Regarding claim 14, Ozawa discloses all limitations of claim 9as discussed above, but Ozawa does not disclose the over-voltage preventing device including a zener as claimed.

Matsui discloses a storage device (figure 3) comprises an over-voltage preventing is coupled at both ends of the capacitor, and the over-voltage device includes a zener (16) (see figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the storage of Ozawa to incorporate the overvoltage preventing device having a zener as disclosed by Matsui in order to protect the capacitor from the over-voltage condition.

11. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa in view of Nakazawa.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,919

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claim 16, Ozawa discloses all limitations of claim 9 as discussed above, but Ozawa does not disclose an over-discharge preventing device as claimed.

Page 9

Nakazawa discloses an over-voltage preventing device (a voltage detector V, see figure 5) is connected to both end portions of the battery (2).

It would have been obvious to one of having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the battery of Ozawa to incorporate the over-discharge preventing device as disclosed by Nakazawa in order to protect the battery from an over-discharge condition.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANNY NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2054. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL SHERRY can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,919 Page 10

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Danny Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836